

Problem Set: Instrumental Variables

Problem 1. Consider a simple model to estimate the effect of personal computer (PC) ownership on college grade point average (GPA) for graduating seniors at a large public university:

$$GPA = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PC + u,$$

where PC is a binary variable indicating PC ownership.

- (i) Why might PC ownership be correlated with u ?
- (ii) Explain why PC is likely to be related to parents' annual income. Does this mean parental income is a good instrumental variable (IV) for PC ? Why or why not?
- (iii) Suppose that, four years ago, the university gave grants to buy computers to roughly one-half of the incoming students, and the students who received grants were randomly chosen. Carefully explain how you would use this information to construct an instrumental variable for PC .

Problem 2. Evans and Schwab (1995) studied the effects of attending a Catholic high school on the probability of attending college. For concreteness, let $college$ be a binary variable equal to unity if a student attends college, and zero otherwise. Let $CathHS$ be a binary variable equal to one if the student attends a Catholic high school. A linear probability model is

$$college = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CathHS + \text{other factors} + u,$$

where the other factors include gender, race, family income, and parental education.

- (i) Why might $CathHS$ be correlated with u ?
- (ii) Evans and Schwab have data on a standardized test score taken when each student was a sophomore. What can be done with this variable to improve the *ceteris paribus* estimate of attending a Catholic high school?
- (iii) Let $CathRel$ be a binary variable equal to one if the student is Catholic. Discuss the two requirements needed for this to be a valid Instrumental Variable (IV) for $CathHS$ in the preceding equation. Which of these can be tested?

Problem 3. Use the data in [WAGE2 \(Description\)](#) for this exercise.

(i) Estimate the following using OLS. What could be a problem?

$$\log(wage) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{educ} + u.$$

(ii) Use the variable **sibs** (number of siblings) as an instrument for **educ**. Compare the results.

(iii) Using **sibs** as an IV for **educ** is not the same as just plugging **sibs** in for **educ** and running an OLS regression, run the regression of $\log(wage)$ on **sibs** and explain your findings.

(iv) The variable **brthord** is birth order (**brthord** is one for a first-born child, two for a second-born child, and so on). Explain why **educ** and **brthord** might be negatively correlated. Regress **educ** on **brthord** to determine whether there is a statistically significant negative correlation.

(v) Use **brthord** as an IV for **educ**. Report and interpret the results.

(vi) Now, suppose that we include number of siblings as an explanatory variable in the wage equation; this controls for family background, to some extent:

$$\log(wage) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{educ} + \beta_2 \text{sibs} + u.$$

Suppose that we want to use **brthord** as an IV for **educ**, assuming that **sibs** is exogenous. The reduced form for **educ** is

$$\text{educ} = \pi_0 + \pi_1 \text{sibs} + \pi_2 \text{brthord} + v.$$

State and test the identification assumption.

(vii) Estimate the equation from part (vi) using **brthord** as an IV for **educ** (and **sibs** as its own IV). Comment on the standard errors for $\hat{\beta}_{\text{educ}}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{\text{sibs}}$.

(viii) Using the fitted values from part (vi), $\widehat{\text{educ}}$, compute the correlation between $\widehat{\text{educ}}$ and **sibs**. Use this result to explain your findings from part 7.

Problem 4. Use the data in [401KUBS \(Description\)](#) for this exercise. The equation of interest is a linear probability model:

$$pira = \beta_0 + \beta_1 p401k + \beta_2 inc + \beta_3 inc^2 + \beta_4 age + \beta_5 age^2 + u.$$

The goal is to test whether there is a tradeoff between participating in a 401(k) plan and having an individual retirement account (IRA). Therefore, we want to estimate β_1 .

- (i) Estimate the equation by OLS and discuss the estimated effect of $p401k$.
- (ii) For the purposes of estimating the *ceteris paribus* tradeoff between participation in two different types of retirement savings plans, what might be a problem with ordinary least squares (OLS)?
- (iii) The variable $e401k$ is a binary variable equal to one if a worker is eligible to participate in a 401(k) plan. Explain what is required for $e401k$ to be a valid Instrumental Variable (IV) for $p401k$. Do these assumptions seem reasonable?
- (iv) Estimate the reduced form for $p401k$ and verify that $e401k$ has significant partial correlation with $p401k$. Since the reduced form is also a linear probability model, use a heteroskedasticity-robust standard error.

$$p401k = \delta_0 + \delta_1 e401k + \delta_2 inc + \delta_3 inc^2 + \delta_4 age + \delta_5 age^2 + e.$$

- (v) Now, estimate the structural equation by IV and compare the estimate of β_1 with the OLS estimate. Again, you should obtain heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors.