

# BUSS386 Problem Set 13

## Exotic Options

Prof. Ji-Woong Chung

### Problem 1 — Binary as a building block

A non-dividend stock has  $S_0 = 100$ ,  $r = 4\%$  c.c.,  $\sigma = 25\%$ ,  $T = 0.5$ . Consider digitals at strike  $K = 100$ .

- Compute  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ .
- Compute the prices of the cash-or-nothing call (pays \$1 if ITM) and the asset-or-nothing call (pays  $S_T$  if ITM).
- Show that the portfolio (long 1 asset-or-nothing call – short  $K = 100$  cash-or-nothing calls) reproduces the BSM vanilla call price. State the price.
- In one sentence, why is this decomposition useful for pricing gap options?

### Problem 2 — Gap call vs vanilla call

Stock  $S_0 = 100$ ,  $r = 4\%$  c.c.,  $\sigma = 25\%$ ,  $T = 0.5$ ,  $q = 0$ . Consider a gap call with trigger  $K_2 = 110$  and payout strike  $K_1 = 100$ .

- Sketch the gap-call payoff as a function of  $S_T$ . Identify the region where it differs from a vanilla call at  $K = K_1 = 100$ .
- Compute the gap call price (use the formula with  $d_1, d_2$  evaluated at  $K_2 = 110$ ).
- Compute the vanilla call price at  $K = 100$ .
- State which is more expensive and explain in one sentence using the payoff region from (a).

### Problem 3 — Compound call (binomial tree)

Two-step CRR tree:  $S_0 = 100$ ,  $u = 1.2$ ,  $d = 0.8$ ,  $\Delta t = 0.5$ ,  $r = 5\%$  c.c. A vanilla 1-year European call has strike  $K_{\text{inner}} = 100$ . A compound *call-on-call* expires at  $t = 0.5$  with outer strike  $K_{\text{outer}} = 10$ .

- Compute the risk-neutral probability  $p$  and per-step discount  $e^{-r\Delta t}$ .
- Compute the inner-call payoffs at  $t = T$  and back out  $C_u, C_d$  at  $t = 0.5$ .
- Compute the compound-call payoffs  $\text{CoC}_u = \max(C_u - K_{\text{outer}}, 0)$  and  $\text{CoC}_d$  at  $t = 0.5$ .
- Back out  $\text{CoC}_0$  at  $t = 0$ .

## Problem 4 — Barrier parity

A 6-month KOSPI 200 European put with  $K = 360$  trades at ₩8.50 per index point. The corresponding down-and-in put with barrier  $H = 324$  trades at ₩4.20.

- (a) State the barrier parity relation between vanilla, down-and-in, and down-and-out puts.
- (b) Compute the price of the down-and-out put.
- (c) As  $H$  moves from ₩324 closer to the strike 360, what happens to the down-and-in price? In one sentence, why?
- (d) In one sentence, why does a knock-out option cost less than a vanilla?

## Problem 5 — Korean autocallable ELS (conceptual)

A 3-year KOSPI 200-linked autocallable ELS pays an 8% annual coupon. It is autocalled at par if KOSPI 200 closes above 95% of issue level on any of the six semi-annual observation dates. At maturity, if KOSPI 200 has never touched 50% of issue level, the principal is returned; otherwise, the investor takes the index loss (1:1).

- (a) From the issuer (bank)'s perspective, decompose the embedded position into building blocks: long/short which standard and exotic instruments?
- (b) Is the issuer long or short gamma? Long or short vega? Long or short the smile (i.e., does the issuer benefit when OTM-put IV rises)?
- (c) During the HSCEI ELS crisis of Q1 2024, mark-to-market losses preceded realized losses. In one sentence, why?
- (d) In one sentence, why is this product priced with Monte Carlo and not closed-form BSM?

## Problem 6 — Asian options

KOSPI 200 spot  $S_0 = 360$ ,  $r = 3\%$  c.c.,  $q = 1.5\%$  continuous dividend,  $T = 1$  year,  $\sigma = 20\%$ .

- (a) In one sentence, explain why an arithmetic-average Asian call with strike  $K = 360$  is cheaper than the corresponding European call.
- (b) In one sentence, explain why the geometric Asian call is cheaper still.
- (c) A Korean exporter receives €100m monthly from German customers. State, in one sentence each, why an arithmetic-average Asian put on EUR/KRW is a better hedge than (i) a single 1-year European put on EUR/KRW; (ii) a basket of 12 monthly European puts.

## Problem 7 — Monte Carlo convergence and KIKO

- (a) A Monte Carlo estimator with  $N = 1,000$  paths gives  $\hat{V}_0 = 8.40$  and s.e. = 0.42. State the 95% confidence interval.
- (b) How many paths  $N^*$  are required to shrink the s.e. to 0.05?

- (c) Explain in one sentence why KIKO options are pathological for naive Monte Carlo (think: which path region contributes most to the option's loss and how is it sampled?).
- (d) In one sentence, name one variance-reduction technique you would use for an arithmetic-average Asian option and why.